FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-22-90168

FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JUL 1 9 2023

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL	
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY	

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW

Before: ROSENBAUM, BRANCH, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; COOGLER and WALKER, Chief District Judges.

Pursuant to 11th Cir. JCDR 18.3, this Judicial Council Review Panel has considered petitioner's complaint filed on December 6, 2022, the order of Acting Chief United States Circuit Judge Charles R. Wilson filed on January 4, 2023, and the petition for review filed by petitioner on January 31, 2023. No judge on this panel has requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council.

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby AFFIRMS the disposition of this matter by Acting Chief Judge Wilson. The petition for review is DENIED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

/s/ Robin S. Rosenbaum
United States Circuit Judge

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JAN 04 2023

CONFIDENTIAL

David J. Smith Clerk

Before the Acting Chief Judge of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-22-90168

ORDER

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States circuit judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

As an initial matter, after Complainant filed his Complaint, he filed a supplemental statement. The filing of the supplemental statement is permitted. *See* 11th Cir. JCDR 6.7.

Background

The record shows that the Subject Judge issued orders in two separate judicial-complaint matters filed by Complainant.

Complaint

Complainant asserts the Subject Judge denied him his due process rights because he never received the order issued in his first judicial-complaint matter and because he was not notified upon the filing of his second judicial complaint. He asserts the Subject Judge tried to dismiss his complaints without his knowledge.

Supplement

In Complainant's supplemental statement, he reiterates his allegations.

Discussion

The Complaint fails to present a basis for a finding of misconduct. Complainant's claims are based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is DISMISSED.

Acting Chief Judge