FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

OCT 20 2022

CONFIDENTIAL

David J. Smith Clerk

Before the Chief Judge of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-22-90128

ORDER

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States district judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Background

The record shows that in June 2022, Complainant filed a lawsuit against two defendants and a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Subject Judge then issued an order dismissing the case without prejudice for failure to state a claim and denying all pending motions as moot.

Complaint

Complainant asserts the Subject Judge should have recused himself from the case because he is under investigation by this Court and the United States Attorney General. He also contends that the Subject Judge denied him relief without considering the facts or evidence and violated his rights. He attached documents to his Complaint.

Discussion

Judicial-Conduct Rule 4(b)(1) provides in part that "[c]ognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The Commentary on Rule 4 explains the rationale for this rule as follows:

> Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

The Complaint fails to present a basis for a finding of misconduct. To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's official actions, rulings, findings, and order in the above-described case, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B). Complainant's remaining claims are based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that the Subject Judge otherwise engaged in misconduct. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For these reasons, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

> /s/ William H. Pryor Jr. Chief Judge