

MAR 31 2022

David J. Smith
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-22-90020

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY:

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States District
Judge _____ of the United States District Court for the
_____ District of _____, under the Judicial Conduct and
Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against
United States District Judge _____ (“the Subject Judge”), un-
der the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 351(a), and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct
and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of
the United States (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”).

Background

The record shows that in January 2022 Complainant and another individual filed an “Emergency Application for an Injunction to Restrain Crimes.” A district judge who is not the Subject Judge set a hearing on the matter for January 14, 2022, noting that failure to appear could result in denial of the motion or dismissal of the case. The next day, the plaintiffs filed a motion to recuse all the judges in the district as biased. A few days later, the plaintiffs filed a notice alleging that United States Marshals had, among other things, falsely imprisoned Complainant and hindered her from filing the motion to recuse. On January 14, 2022, the district judge entered an order dismissing the case without prejudice due to the plaintiffs’ failure to appear at the hearing.

Complaint

Complainant states she reported to the Subject Judge an incident where she was “attack[ed]” by United States Marshals and requested an investigation and intervention to stop certain illegal behavior. Complainant also takes issue with the actions of individuals other than the Subject Judge.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of a claim that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a

disability exists,” under Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge