CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint No. 11-21-90089

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States District Judge _____ of the United States District Court for the _____ District of _____, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ ("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States District Judge _____ (the "Subject Judge"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").

As an initial matter, after Complainant filed her Complaint, she filed a supplemental statement. The filing of the supplemental statement is permitted. *See* 11th Cir. JCDR 6.7.

Background

The record shows that in November 2014 Complainant filed an employment discrimination action against her former employer, and she ultimately filed a third amended complaint in May 2016.[1] In March 2017 the defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. After various proceedings, in September 2019 the Subject Judge issued an opinion and order granting the defendant's motion for summary judgment in part. In February 2020 the Subject Judge issued a supplemental opinion dismissing Complainant's remaining claim for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction, and the case was closed.

Complaint

In her Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant takes issue with the treatment she received from her former employer and with the actions of

[1] The lawsuit was filed by _____, which appears to be Complainant's previous name.

individuals other than the Subject Judge. She also states that "the court" relied on fraudulent information and failed to consider certain testimony.

Supplement

Complainant's supplemental statement does not include any specific allegations pertaining to the Subject Judge.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of a claim that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge