

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

DEC 02 2020

David J. Smith
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

**BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-20-90170 through 11-20-90175

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States Circuit Judges
_____, _____, _____, _____, _____, and _____ of the
United States Court of Appeals for the _____ Circuit, under the Judicial
Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against United States Circuit Judges _____, _____, _____, _____, _____, and _____ (collectively, the “Subject Judges”), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (“JCDR”).

Background

The record shows that in February 2019 Complainant filed, among other things, a “Motion for Review of a Constitutional Conflict and Crisis.” The next month, a magistrate judge issued a report recommending that the case be dismissed pursuant to the three-strikes bar under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In April 2019 a district judge issued an order adopting the report and recommendation and dismissing the case without prejudice. Complainant appealed, and this Court later clerically dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution.

Complaint

In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant indicates his allegations pertain to the above-described appeal, and he alleges the Subject Judges: (1) acted with “deliberate indifference and reckless disregard for the ethics and canons of judicial misconduct”; (2) engaged in neglect and malfeasance; (3) were inefficient; (4) displayed “acts of judicial dementia, disability, amnesia, mental fatigue, and incompetence”; (5) engaged in discrimination, retaliation, and hostile acts; (6) accepted gifts and bribes; (7) committed acts of treason; (8) aided and abetted “in the maladministering of justice”; (9) exhibited “judicial indifference to the poor, and the financially disadvantaged”; and (10) discriminated on the “basis of race, wealth, color,

religion, political beliefs [and] orientation, and status as a felon or a non-felon.” He attached documents to his Complaint.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judges engaged in misconduct or suffered from a disability.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists,” JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ Robin S. Rosenbaum

Acting Chief Judge