

FILED  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS  
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT  
DEC 02 2020

David J. Smith  
Clerk

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE  
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

**Judicial Complaint No. 11-20-90087**

**IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY \_\_\_\_\_**

---

IN RE: The Complaint of \_\_\_\_\_ against United States District Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_ of the United States District Court for the \_\_\_\_\_ District of  
\_\_\_\_\_, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of  
Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

---

**ORDER**

\_\_\_\_\_ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against United States District Judge \_\_\_\_\_ (the “Subject Judge”), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (“JCDR”).

Background

The record shows that in February 2019 Complainant filed, among other things, a “Motion for Review of a Constitutional Conflict and Crisis.” The next month, a magistrate judge issued a report recommending that the case be dismissed pursuant to the three-strikes bar under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In April 2019 the Subject Judge issued an order adopting the report and recommendation and dismissing the case without prejudice. Complainant appealed, and this Court later clerically dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution.

Complaint

In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges the Subject Judge: (1) committed impeachable offenses and high crimes and misdemeanors; (2) engaged in discrimination, retaliation, conduct unbecoming of a judge, demonstrably egregious and hostile conduct, and abusive, offensive, and unwanted behavior; (3) accepted bribes and gifts from Complainant; and (4) interfered with, obstructed, or failed to comply with court rules and the United States Constitution. He attached documents to his Complaint.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists,” JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ Robin S. Rosenbaum

Acting Chief Judge