FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90054

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*

Before: WILSON, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, NEWSOM, BRANCH, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, Martin, Branch, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 31 August 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 8 September 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. did not take part in the review of this petition.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90055

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*

Before: WILSON, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, NEWSOM, BRANCH, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, Martin, Branch, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 31 August 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 8 September 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. did not take part in the review of this petition.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90056

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*

Before: WILSON, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, NEWSOM, BRANCH, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, Martin, Branch, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 31 August 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 8 September 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. did not take part in the review of this petition.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90057

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*

Before: WILSON, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, NEWSOM, BRANCH, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, Martin, Branch, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 31 August 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 8 September 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. did not take part in the review of this petition.

CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-20-90054 through 11-20-90057

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States District Judge _____ of the United State District Court for the _____ District of _____ and United States Magistrate Judge _____ and United States District Judges _____ and _____ of the United States District Court for the _____ District of _____, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ ("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States Magistrate Judge _____ and United States District Judges _____, _____, and _____ (collectively, the "Subject Judges"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").

As an initial matter, after Complainant filed his Complaint, he filed three supplemental statements. The filing of the supplemental statements is permitted. See 11th Cir. JCDR 6.7.

Background

The record shows that Complainant has filed three lawsuits in the United States District Court for the _____ District of _____.[1] First, in November 2016 he filed an employment discrimination complaint against a company and a motion to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP). In February 2017 Judge _____ entered an order granting in part and denying in part the IFP motion and directing Complainant to file an amended complaint within 21 days. The next month, Judge _____ issued an order dismissing the case without prejudice due to Complainant's failure to file an amended complaint. Complainant appealed, and this Court later clerically dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution.

[1] It does not appear that Complainant has been involved in any cases in the United States District Court for the _____ District of _____.

Second, in December 2018 Complainant filed an employment discrimination action against multiple defendants and a motion to proceed IFP. In January 2019 Judge _____ entered an order granting the IFP motion and directing Complainant to file an amended complaint. Complainant then filed a response, and Judge _____ entered an order dismissing the case without prejudice, finding Complainant failed to comply with the court's order and that his filing failed to establish a basis for the court's jurisdiction or a cognizable federal claim.

Third, in April 2020 Complainant filed a lawsuit against multiple defendants and a motion to proceed IFP. Judge _____ entered orders granting the IFP motion and directing Complainant to file an amended complaint, and Complainant then filed a document. In May 2020 Judge _____ entered an order dismissing the case without prejudice, finding Complainant's document was not an amended complaint and that he failed to prosecute the case.

Complaint

Complainant's Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability includes various documents, and, although not entirely clear, he appears to allege the Subject Judges were biased against him.

Supplements

In Complainant's first supplemental statement, he appears to complain that Judge _____ denied a certain request for injunctive relief and that Judge _____ and Judge _____ engaged in "fraud/abuse." In the second and third supplements, Complainant does not appear to raise any allegations against the Subject Judges.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judges were biased against him, engaged in fraud or abuse, or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge