## **CONFIDENTIAL**

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

FEB 07 2020

David J. Smith Clerk

## BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint No. 11-19-90118

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against United States Circuit Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Circuit, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28
U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States Circuit Judge, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Background
In February 2019 Complainant filed a Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability against two federal judges, and in April 2019 he filed a Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability against nine federal judges. In August 2019 a circuit judge issued orders dismissing both Complaints, and the Judicial Council later affirmed those orders.
Also in August 2019, Complainant filed a Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability against the circuit judge who issued the dismissal orders, and the Subject Judge issued an order dismissing that Complaint as based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence. (Complaint No). No petition for review was filed and the matter was closed in October 2019.
Complaint
In the instant Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges the Subject Judge acted "pursuant to the 'conspiracy to predetermine the outcome of judicial proceedings'" and to engage in other misconduct "at the behest of the defendant

In the instant Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges the Subject Judge acted "pursuant to the 'conspiracy to predetermine the outcome of judicial proceedings" and to engage in other misconduct "at the behest of the defendant State." He also asserts that the Subject Judge "intentionally misconstrued" evidence and demonstrated a "mental incapacity to uphold the fundamental duties of the judicial oath." He attached documents to his Complaint.

## **Discussion**

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his allegations that the Subject Judge was part of a conspiracy, intentionally misconstrued evidence, had a "mental incapacity," violated his oath of office, or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Acting Chief Judge