


**FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

11-19-90093



**IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*


Before: MARCUS, WILSON, WILLIAM PRYOR, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, and NEWSOM, Circuit Judges; MOORE, MERRYDAY, THRASH, BOWDRE, LAND, DuBOSE, HALL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, William Pryor, Martin, Land, and Walker, the order of Acting Chief Judge Tjoflat, filed on 5 August 2019, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 14 August 2019, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

The foregoing actions are **APPROVED**.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:


United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge Ed Carnes and Circuit Judge Gerald Bard Tjoflat did not take part in the review of this petition.

CONFIDENTIAL

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

AUG 05 2019

David J. Smith
Clerk

**BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

Judicial Complaint No. 11-19-90093

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States Circuit Judge
_____ of the United States Court of Appeals for the _____ Circuit,
under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28
U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against United States Circuit Judge _____, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (“JCDR”).

Background

In April 2019 Complainant filed a Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability against a United States Magistrate Judge and a United States District Judge.


Complaint

In the instant Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges the Subject Judge engaged in “willful delay” in reviewing his previous Complaint. He also alleges the Judicial Council illegally and “conspiratorially” “further[ed] the delay in reviewing the subject complaint in order to conceal the criminal acts committed by those involved,” and failed to investigate the Subject Judge’s actions. Finally, Complainant states the Judicial Conference must ensure that a special grand jury is convened so he can present evidence of crimes committed against him.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his allegation that the Subject Judge engaged in “willful delay” in reviewing his previous Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists,” JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.



Acting Chief Judge