


**FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

11-18-90145



**IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*


Before: MARCUS, WILSON, WILLIAM PRYOR, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, and NEWSOM, Circuit Judges; MOORE, MERRYDAY, THRASH, BOWDRE, DuBOSE, HALL, WALKER, and MARKS,** Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, William Pryor, Rosenbaum, Thrash and Walker, the order of Acting Chief Judge Stanley Marcus, filed on 22 January 2019, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 27 February 2019, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

The foregoing actions are **APPROVED**.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:


United States Circuit Judge

- * Chief Circuit Judge Ed Carnes, Circuit Judge Gerald Bard Tjoflat, and Chief District Judge Clay D. Land did not take part in the review of this petition.
- ** Judge Emily Marks is Acting Chief Judge.

MAY 6 2019

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

**FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

11-18-90146

**IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*


Before: MARCUS, WILSON, WILLIAM PRYOR, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, and NEWSOM, Circuit Judges; MOORE, MERRYDAY, THRASH, BOWDRE, DuBOSE, HALL, WALKER, and MARKS,** Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, William Pryor, Rosenbaum, Thrash and Walker, the order of Acting Chief Judge Stanley Marcus, filed on 22 January 2019, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 27 February 2019, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

The foregoing actions are **APPROVED**.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:




United States Circuit Judge

- * Chief Circuit Judge Ed Carnes, Circuit Judge Gerald Bard Tjoflat, and Chief District Judge Clay D. Land did not take part in the review of this petition.
- ** Judge Emily Marks is Acting Chief Judge.

**FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

11-18-90147



**IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL
MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY**

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW*

Before: MARCUS, WILSON, WILLIAM PRYOR, MARTIN, JORDÁN, ROSENBAUM, JILL PRYOR, and NEWSOM, Circuit Judges; MOORE, MERRYDAY, THRASH, BOWDRE, DuBOSE, HALL, WALKER, and MARKS,** Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Wilson, William Pryor, Rosenbaum, Thrash and Walker, the order of Acting Chief Judge Stanley Marcus, filed on 22 January 2019, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 27 February 2019, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby **AFFIRMED**.

The foregoing actions are **APPROVED**.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

- * Chief Circuit Judge Ed Carnes, Circuit Judge Gerald Bard Tjoflat, and Chief District Judge Clay D. Land did not take part in the review of this petition.
- ** Judge Emily Marks is Acting Chief Judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JAN 22 2019

David J. Smith
Clerk

BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-18-90145 through 11-18-90147

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against U.S. Magistrate Judge _____
and U.S. District Judge _____ of the U.S. District Court for the _____
District of _____, and U.S. Circuit Judge _____ of the U.S. Court of
Appeals for the _____ Circuit, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act
of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against United States
Magistrate Judge _____, United States District Judge _____, and United States
Circuit Judge _____ (collectively, “the Subject Judges”), pursuant to Chapter 16 of
Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability
Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (“JCDR”).

Background

The record shows that in May 2018 Complainant filed an employment
discrimination lawsuit against a company. Later that month, Judge _____ issued an
order transferring the case to another district court, as that was where the alleged
discrimination occurred and where the defendant was located. Over Complainant’s
objections, in June 2018 Judge _____ adopted the order and directed the clerk to
transfer the case to another district court.

Complaint

In her Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant states she is
filing her complaint due to “3rd party harassment.” She describes the repossession of her
vehicle and later receipt of a citation for overtime parking, and alleges that an individual
who works for the company Complainant sued caused the citation to be issued in an
effort to get her to drop the lawsuit. Complainant asserts that she and her son have been
subjected to harassment and retaliation, and states she “would like this investigated [and]
the harassment stopped.” She attached documents to her Complaint.

Discussion

Although Complainant names the Subject Judges as the subject of her Complaint, she raises no allegations against the Subject Judges.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists,” JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ Stanley Marcus

Acting Chief Judge