FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

CONFIDENTIAL

APR 20 2017

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

David J. Smith Clerk

Judicial Complaint No. 11-17-90008

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY		
IN RE: The Complaint of the U.S. District Court for the	District of	, under the Judicial
Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 0	Snapter 16 of 11tle	28 U.S.C. 99 331-304.
ORDER		
Oistrict Judge ("Complainant") has filed U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Cethe Judicial Conference of the United States	ge"), pursuant to Cl onduct and Judicia	hapter 16 of Title 28
Background		
The record shows that in November 2 individuals, filed a lawsuit against a compandiscrimination. In May 2013 the Subject Jud Complainant by requiring him to reimburse defendant, as a result of his refusal to complihis abuse of the judicial process.	y, raising claims o dge entered an orde certain attorney's f	f employment er in which she sanctioned fees incurred by the
In July 2013 Complainant filed a mother attendance at two receptions held by the exhibits to that motion, Complainant attache Judge standing next to attorneys from the fir Judge's "friendship" with the firm would calimpartiality. The defendant opposed the mohundred other business and community leader receptions welcoming the and the motion to recuse.	law firm represent d copies of photos m. Complainant a use a reasonable pe tion, stating that al ers, the Subject Juc	sing the defendant. As showing the Subject argued that the Subject erson to doubt her long with more than a dge had attended law firm
After various proceedings, in Decembration amended motion to recuse the Subject Judge Complainant at a hearing and reiterating that representing the defendant. The Subject Judge	e, arguing that she l t she had a friendsh	had displayed bias against hip with the law firm

December 2013, Complainant filed another motion to recuse the Subject Judge, asserting that she was the "close friend" of a doctor with whom she had appeared in photographs taken at two receptions held at the law firm representing the defendant. Complainant stated that the Subject Judge "must have a debt of gratitude" to the law firm for providing her with two "receptions with the honor of taking her photogra[p]hs together with her close friend . . . and posting them on the Internet." The Subject Judge denied the motion to recuse. In January 2014 Complainant filed a motion to withdraw as attorney for the plaintiffs, and the Subject Judge granted the motion. A jury later rendered a verdict against the plaintiffs, and the Subject Judge entered a final judgment in favor of the defendant.

Complaint

In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant states that he is complaining that the Subject Judge failed to recuse herself from the case "based on her apparent friendship with a party's local law firm and one of its lawyers on record." He notes that in June 2014 the Subject Judge complained to the state bar about him. He attached a letter the Subject Judge wrote to "Branch Discipline Counsel" with the state bar in which she stated that: (1) Complainant's work product in the case "fell below all standards of professionalism and competence"; (2) he filed numerous frivolous motions and engaged in "rampant discovery abuses"; and (3) "his engaging in objectionable behavior is a matter of public record."

Discussion

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States provides that cognizable misconduct does not include "an allegation that is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." The Rule provides that "[a]n allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related." Id. (emphasis added). The "Commentary on Rule 3" states in part:

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a judge's ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge—without more—is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's official actions, rulings, and orders entered in the case, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from

the decisions or procedural rulings that Complainant challenges, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his allegations that the Subject Judge committed misconduct by failing to recuse due to her alleged "friendship" with an attorney or law firm involved in the case or otherwise engaged in misconduct. See Guide to Judiciary Policy, Vol. 2B, Ch. 2, "Committee on Codes of Conduct Advisory Opinion No. 11: Disqualification Where Long-Time Friend or Friend's Law Firm Is Counsel" (explaining that a judge need not recuse from a case when a long-time friend is acting as counsel unless the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, such as when the friend is the godfather of one of the judge's children and the "relationship is like that of a close relative").

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge