

FILED
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JUN 06 2024

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Judicial Council of the
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-24-90015

ORDER

Before: WILSON, ROSENBAUM, and BRANCH, Circuit Judges; WALKER, Chief District Judge; COOGLER District Judge.

Pursuant to 11th Cir. JCDR 18.3, this Judicial Council Review Panel has considered the materials described in JCDR 18(c)(2), including petitioner's complaint, the order of Chief United States Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr., and the petition for review filed by petitioner. No judge on this panel has requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council.

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby AFFIRMS the disposition of this matter by Chief Judge Pryor. The petition for review is DENIED.

Done this 6th day of June, 2024.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:



United States Circuit Judge

FEB 08 2024

David J. Smith
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-24-90015

ORDER

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States district judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Background

The record shows that Complainant filed a civil complaint against three judges, and the Subject Judge later adopted a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation and dismissed the complaint as barred by judicial immunity. This Court clerically dismissed Complainant’s appeal for want of prosecution.

Complaint

Complainant states the Subject Judge “agreed with” other judges “in their favor ‘Civil Rights Act of 1964’ without ‘disabled.’”

They did not say the word ‘Americans with Disabilities Act’ (ADA) in the documents because [the Subject Judge] did not agree with the US Supreme Court cases binding in ‘disabled’ under ADA.”

Discussion

The Complaint fails to present a basis for a finding of misconduct. Complainant provides no evidence to raise an inference that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge