FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL JUN 0 6 2024

CONFIDENTIAL

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

Before the Judicial Council of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-24-90011

#### **ORDER**

Before: WILSON, ROSENBAUM, and BRANCH, Circuit Judges; WALKER, Chief District Judge; COOGLER, District Judge.

Pursuant to 11th Cir. JCDR 18.3, this Judicial Council Review Panel has considered the materials described in JCDR 18(c)(2), including petitioner's complaint, the order of Chief United States Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr., and the petition for review filed by petitioner. No judge on this panel has requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council.

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby AFFIRMS the disposition of this matter by Chief Judge Pryor. The petition for review is DENIED.

Done this day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2024.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

# FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

JAN 30 2024

CONFIDENTIAL

David J. Smith Clerk

Before the Chief Judge of the

Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-24-90011

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **ORDER**

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States circuit judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

## **Background**

The record shows that Complainant filed a civil complaint against three judges, and a district judge later dismissed the complaint. On appeal, Complainant filed a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and the Subject Judge denied the motion because the appeal was frivolous.

## Complaint

Complainant states the Subject Judge "agreed with" other judges "in their favor 'Civil Rights Act of 1964' without 'disabled.'

They did not say word 'Americans with Disabilities Act' (ADA) in the documents because [the Subject Judge] did not agree with the US Supreme Court cases binding in 'disabled' under ADA."

### Discussion

The Complaint fails to present a basis for a finding of misconduct. Complainant provides no evidence to raise an inference that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge