

JAN 24 2023

David J. Smith
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-22-90181

ORDER

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States district judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Background

The record shows that in March 2018 Complainant filed a lawsuit against multiple defendants raising discrimination claims. He later filed an amended complaint in which he stated that, on a certain date, a police officer completed an investigative report that accused him of disorderly conduct at a certain meeting “for which he admits.” The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint.

In August 2018, the Subject Judge issued an order granting the defendants' motion to dismiss and ruled that Complainant failed to establish he was entitled to relief on his claims. The Subject Judge stated that Complainant alleged in his amended complaint that, at a certain meeting, police officers filled out a police report accusing him of disorderly conduct, "an accusation that [he] 'admits,'" but that he also alleged the police report was false. Afterward, Complainant filed in the case multiple motions to reopen and other motions, which the Subject Judge denied. In July 2021, Complainant filed another motion to reopen, and the Subject Judge ordered the motion stricken and directed the clerk not to accept any additional filings in the case.

Complaint

Complainant states the Subject Judge "apparently doesn't know the law" and that her "cognitive abilities are so impaired, she could not understand that I never admitted any guilt as it pertains to any 'Disorderly Conduct' charge." He asserts that he provided the Subject Judge documentation establishing he was never charged with disorderly conduct.

Discussion

The Complaint fails to present a basis for a finding of disability. Complainant's claim that the Subject Judge had impaired cognitive abilities is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that the Subject Judge suffered from a

disability. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge