

DEC 20 2022

David J. Smith
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-22-90165

ORDER

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States district judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Background

The record shows that in May 2022 Complainant filed an employment-discrimination complaint against multiple defendants, a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, a consent to receive notices of electronic filing, and a “Letter of Demand” seeking a response from the defendants. The next month, the Subject Judge entered an order dismissing the complaint without prejudice for failure to state a claim and denying the *in forma pauperis* motion as moot.

Complaint

Complainant states she “formally requested [her] filing be placed for appointment” of counsel and that “[e]ach time,” the Subject Judge “dismissed [her] filing as inadequate.” She asserts, “This is unconstitutional and evident.”

Discussion

The Complaint fails to present a basis for a finding of misconduct. Complainant’s claim that the Subject Judge unconstitutionally denied a request for appointed counsel is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct. Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge