

AUG. 26 2022

David J. Smith  
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the  
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

---

Judicial Complaint No. 11-22-90097

---

**ORDER**

An individual has filed a Complaint against a United States district judge under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

**Background**

The record shows that in July 2022 Complainant filed a civil complaint against three defendants. The Subject Judge then issued an order providing instructions to *pro se* litigants. The case remains pending.

**Complaint**

Complainant alleges the Subject Judge retaliated against him for filing a judicial complaint against another judge and for reporting or disclosing judicial misconduct. Complainant states he

previously filed a judicial complaint against a different judge, that judge and the Subject Judge then communicated, and the Subject Judge retaliated against Complainant due to the earlier complaint. Complainant states he “has been duly informed by a reliable party inside said judicial district that [the Subject Judge] has coordinated with [the other judge] to ‘fix him [ ]’ for filing a judicial misconduct complaint against his colleague.”

#### **Discussion**

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his allegation that the Subject Judge retaliated against him for filing a judicial complaint or for reporting judicial misconduct.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists,” under Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.  
Chief Judge