

JUL 22 2022

David J. Smith  
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the  
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

---

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-22-90083 through 11-22-90085

---

**ORDER**

An individual has filed a Complaint against three United States district judges under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351–364, and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

**Background**

Complainant identifies two district court cases in his judicial complaint. In the first case, Complainant filed, among other things, a civil complaint and two amended complaints. In April 2022 the second Subject Judge recused himself from the case, and it was re-assigned to a judge who is not one of the Subject Judges.

In the second case, Complainant filed in May 2022 a civil complaint against two defendants and a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). Later that month, the first Subject

Judge granted the IFP motion but dismissed his complaint without prejudice for failure to state a viable claim for relief.

### **Complaint**

Complainant alleges that “[a]ll Judges involving me including those mentioned violated judicial and procedural laws,” violated his rights and allowed others to violate his rights, and discriminated against him by referring to him using offensive and racist terms. Complainant then alleges that the second Subject Judge provided special treatment to an opposing party, and that the first Subject Judge allowed a case to proceed in violation of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. He does not raise any specific allegations as to the third Subject Judge, and he raises allegations against entities and individuals other than the Subject Judges.

### **Discussion**

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of a claim that the Subject Judges discriminated against him, used offensive or racist terms, or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint “is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists,” under Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.  
Chief Judge