

JAN 25 2022

David J. Smith
Clerk

CONFIDENTIAL

Before the Chief Judge of the
Eleventh Judicial Circuit

Judicial Complaint No. 11-21-90149

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY:

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States District
Judge _____ of the United States District Court for the
_____ District of _____, under the Judicial Conduct and
Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against
United States District Judge _____ (“the Subject Judge”), un-
der the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 351(a), and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct
and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of
the United States (“Judicial-Conduct Rules”). The Subject Judge
passed away in _____.

Complaint

Complainant states that in November 2020 an individual filed documents at the district court and the documents then “vanished.” He asserts the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct by failing to take action and failing to order an investigation into the location of the documents. He states he cannot provide information about the case because the files vanished. He attached documents to his Complaint.

Discussion

Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(e) provides “The chief judge may conclude a complaint proceeding in whole or in part upon determining that intervening events render some or all of the allegations moot or make remedial action impossible as to the subject judge.” The “Commentary on Rule 11” states in part “Rule 11(e) implements Section 352(b)(2) of the Act, which permits the chief judge to ‘conclude the proceeding,’ if ‘action on the complaint is no longer necessary because of intervening events,’ such as a resignation from judicial office.”

In the light of the Subject Judge’s death, “intervening events render some or all of the allegations moot or make remedial action impossible,” Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(e). For this reason, this Complaint proceeding is **CONCLUDED**. The conclusion of this proceeding in no way implies that there is any merit to Complainant’s allegations against the Subject Judge.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge