## FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

DEC 17 2021

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

## BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

David J. Smith Clerk

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-21-90115 through 11-21-90118

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against United States Magistrate Judge
and United States District Judges and of the United States District Court for the District of, and United
United States District Court for the District of, and United
States Circuit Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Circuit, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980,
Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States
Magistrate Judge, United States District Judges and,
and United States Circuit Judge (collectively, "the Subject Judges"), pursuant
to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and
Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Judicial-Disability 1 roccounings of the Judicial Conference of the Cinical States ( 1021-1).
Background
The record shows that in August 2018 Complainant filed an employment
discrimination action against the ("the"), and Judge
was the assigned magistrate judge ("the First Case"). After various proceedings, in May
2019 Complainant filed a second amended complaint, and the defendant later filed a
motion to dismiss. In January 2020 Judge issued an order granting the
motion to dismiss and dismissing the claims raised in the second amended complaint with
prejudice, finding Complainant failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted.
prejudice, finding Complaniant failed to state a claim on which felici could be granted.
The record also shows that in October 2020 Complainant filed another
employment discrimination action against the, and Judge was the
assigned magistrate judge ("the Second Case"). After various proceedings, in June 2021
the defendant filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, arguing it was barred by the
doctrine of res judicata as Complainant raised the same claims that had been dismissed in
the First Case and certain claims that had been dismissed in two other previous lawsuits
he had filed.
no nau mou.

In August 2021 Judge issued an order: (1) dismissing the complaint
with prejudice for the reasons stated in the defendant's motion to dismiss; (2) enjoining
Complainant from filing any new action, complaint, or claim for relief against the
defendant related to his employment without a member of the Court's bar signing the
paper; (3) stating that any pro se papers filed after entry of the order would be stricken
and disregarded; and (4) denying his pending motions. Complainant then filed multiple
motions, including motions to reopen in which he alleged the case had been dismissed
based on a fraudulent misrepresentation by the defendant's counsel that it was barred by
res judicata, and the motions to reopen were stricken pursuant to the August 2021 order.
Previous Judicial Complaints
Complainant has filed earlier Complaints of Judicial Misconduct or Disability
against Judge, Judge, and Judge In Complaint Nos.
through, Complainant alleged Judge and Judge
assisted Judge in covering up that that no final judgment issued in
the First Case such that the Second Case was not barred by res judicata. Judge
issued an order dismissing that complaint as merits-related and based on
allegations lacking sufficient evidence.
allegations lacking sufficient evidence.
Instant Complaint
In his instant Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges
counsel for the defendant fraudulently claimed the Second Case was barred by res
judicata, when counsel knew there was no final judgment on the merits in the First Case.
Judicata, when counsel knew there was no final judgment on the metric in the first case.
Complainant asserts Judge granted counsel's fraudulent motion to dismiss
even though she knew the case was not barred by <i>res judicata</i> , and that Judge
and Judge assisted Judge and the attorney in "the cover up" by
concealing that no final judgment issued in the First Case.
Next, Complainant alleges Judge dismissed Complaint Nos.
through to protect Judge who is his friend.
III OURII (O PIOCECI JUAGE WITO IS IIIS II IOIG.
Complement states that Judge
Complainant states that Judge acknowledged that Judge "which
Complainant states that Judge acknowledged that Judge, which
Complainant states that Judge acknowledged that Judge, which shows that they were friends. Complainant notes Judge, dismissed earlier
Complainant states that Judge acknowledged that Judge submitted a recommendation on her behalf in connection with "the," which shows that they were friends. Complainant notes Judge dismissed earlier judicial complaints he filed against Judge and Judge , and he
Complainant states that Judge acknowledged that Judge submitted a recommendation on her behalf in connection with "the," which shows that they were friends. Complainant notes Judge dismissed earlier judicial complaints he filed against Judge and Judge, and he contends Judge should have recused himself from considering those
Complainant states that Judge acknowledged that Judge submitted a recommendation on her behalf in connection with "the," which shows that they were friends. Complainant notes Judge dismissed earlier judicial complaints he filed against Judge and Judge , and he

## **Discussion**

Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, "Allegations Related to the Merits of a

Decision or Procedural Ruling," provides in part that "[c]ognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject
Judges' official actions, findings, rulings, and orders in Complainant's cases and judicial
complaints matters, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judges
decisions or procedural rulings. Complainant's remaining claims are based on allegation
acking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that Judges, or
covered up matters, that Judge had a conflict of interest or used
his office to obtain special treatment for his friend, or that the Subject Judges otherwise
engaged in misconduct.
<del>-</del> -

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED** 

Acting Chief Judge