

CONFIDENTIAL

**BEFORE THE ACTING CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

Judicial Complaint No. 11-21-90097

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

NOV 02 2021

David J. Smith
Clerk

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against United States Circuit Judge
_____ of the United States Court of Appeals for the _____ Circuit,
under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28
U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against United States Circuit Judge _____ (the “Subject Judge”), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (“JCDR”).

Background

Complainant filed previous Complaints of Judicial Misconduct or Disability against two United States district judges, Nos. _____ and _____, and in June 2021 the Subject Judge dismissed those complaints. Complainant filed petitions for review and the Judicial Council Review Panel later affirmed the dismissals and denied the petitions for review. Complainant also filed a prior Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability against the Subject Judge, No. _____.

Complaint

In the instant Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges the Subject Judge’s orders in his previous judicial complaint matters were “Legal Bigoted Orders” that have caused him “ongoing damage.” He asserts the Subject Judge “should have immediately corrected the Lower Court’s Orders or at least sealed the case until the corrections could be made.” He also states he has been “threatened and treated differently from [the Subject Judge’s] Clerks of Court.” Next, Complainant alleges he was retaliated against by individuals in this Court’s Clerk’s Office after he filed a judicial complaint against the Subject Judge. He also takes issue with the actions of individuals other than the Subject Judge, and he attached a document to his Complaint.

Discussion

Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, “Allegations Related to the Merits of a Decision or Procedural Ruling,” provides in part that “[c]ognizable misconduct does not

include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

In addition, the "Commentary on Rule 4" provides:

The phrase "decision or procedural ruling" is not limited to rulings issued in deciding Article III cases or controversies. Thus, a complaint challenging the correctness of a chief judge's determination to dismiss a prior misconduct complaint would be properly dismissed as merits-related — in other words, as challenging the substance of the judge's administrative determination to dismiss the complaint — even though it does not concern the judge's rulings in Article III litigation.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's orders dismissing Complainant's previous Complaints of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from the decisions or procedural rulings that Complainant challenges, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judge caused him to be retaliated against or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.



Acting Chief Judge