## FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-21-90072

FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL

NOV 09 2021

**CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE** 

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW

Before: WILSON, ROSENBAUM, and BRANCH, Circuit Judges; COOGLER and WALKER, Chief District Judges.

Pursuant to 11th Cir. JCDR 18.3, this Judicial Council Review Panel has considered petitioner's complaint filed on June 24, 2021, the order of Chief United States Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. filed on August 17, 2021, and the petition for review filed by petitioner on September 10, 2021. No judge on this panel has requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council.

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby AFFIRMS the disposition of this matter by Chief Judge Pryor. The petition for review is DENIED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

AUG 17 2021

David J. Smith Clerk

## BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint No. 11-21-90072

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against United States District Judge of the United States District Court for the District of, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States  District Judge (the "Subject Judge"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28  U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Background
The record shows that United States District Judge was the district judge assigned to two lawsuits Complainant filed, and Judge ultimately dismissed both cases.
Complaint
In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges Judge engaged in misconduct in the two cases and complains that he failed to recuse himself from one of the cases. He then alleges the Subject Judge: (1) knew or should have known about Judge misconduct; (2) allowed Judge to "operate without sanctions"; (3) tolerate crimes committed by Judge; (4) intentionally failed to respond to Complainant's letters about Judge; and (5) acted in concert with the district court clerk to incorrectly inform this Court that he has "3 strikes." He attached documents to his Complaint.
Discussion
Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of a claim that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge