FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90123

FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JAN 2 6 2021

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

IN	RE:	CO	MPL.	AIN	T O	F JU	DIC	AL
M	SCC	NDI	UCT	OR	DIS	ABI	LITY	•

ON PETITION FOR REVIE	W*
-----------------------	----

Before: ROSENBAUM, NEWSOM, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Rosenbaum, Grant, Luck, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 14 October 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 3 November 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

s/Robin S. Rosenbaum
United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. and Circuit Judges Charles R. Wilson, Beverly B. Martin, Adalberto Jordan, Jill Pryor, and Elizabeth L. Branch did not take part in the review of this petition.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90124

FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JAN 2 6 2021

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

IN	RE:	COM	IPLA	INT	OF	JUD	ICIAI	ر
M	SCO	NDU	CT (OR D	ISA	BILI	TY	

ON	PET	OITI	N FO	R RE	VIEW*
----	-----	------	------	------	-------

Before: ROSENBAUM, NEWSOM, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Rosenbaum, Grant, Luck, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 14 October 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 3 November 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

s/Robin S. Rosenbaum
United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. and Circuit Judges Charles R. Wilson, Beverly B. Martin, Adalberto Jordan, Jill Pryor, and Elizabeth L. Branch did not take part in the review of this petition.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

11-20-90125

FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL

JAN 2 6 2021

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

IN	RE:	COI	MPL	AINT	OF	JUDI	ICIAL
MI	SCO	NDI	UCT	OR D	ISA	BILI'	ΓY

Before: ROSENBAUM, NEWSOM, GRANT, and LUCK, Circuit Judges; MOORE, THRASH, CORRIGAN, COOGLER, DuBOSE, HALL, TREADWELL, WALKER, and MARKS, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Rosenbaum, Grant, Luck, Coogler, and Walker, the order of Chief Judge William H. Pryor Jr., filed on 14 October 2020, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 3 November 2020, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

s/Robin S. Rosenbaum
United States Circuit Judge

* Chief Circuit Judge William H. Pryor Jr. and Circuit Judges Charles R. Wilson, Beverly B. Martin, Adalberto Jordan, Jill Pryor, and Elizabeth L. Branch did not take part in the review of this petition.

CONFIDENTIAL

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
OCT 1 4 2020

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

David J. Smith Clerk

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-20-90123 through 11-20-90125

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against United States Circuit Judges,, and of the United States Court of Appeals for the Circuit, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States Circuit Judges, and(collectively the "Subject Judges"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Background
The record shows that in September 2019 Complainant filed a lawsuit against multiple defendants, an application to proceed in forma pauperis, and an "Affidavit of Financial Statement." Later that month, the district judge entered an order dismissing the complaint as frivolous and denying all pending motions as moot. After that, Complainant filed a notice of appeal and other documents. In August 2020 a panel of this Court composed of the Subject Judges entered an order dismissing the appeal for lack of jurisdiction, determining none of Complainant's post-judgment filings was timely to appeal the order dismissing his case.
<u>Complaint</u>
In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant takes issue with the Subject Judges' determination that none of his filings was timely to appeal the order dismissing his case.

Discussion

Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, "Allegations Related to the Merits of a Decision or Procedural Ruling," provides in part that "[c]ognizable misconduct does not

include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

All of Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judges' order dismissing his appeal, and the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judges' decisions or procedural rulings.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

/s/ William H. Pryor Jr.
Chief Judge