CONFIDENTIAL

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT NOV 2 5 2020 David J. Smith Clerk

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-20-90094 and 11-20-90095

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against United States District Judges
and of the United States District Court for the
District of, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980,
Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States District Judges and (collectively, the "Subject Judges"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Background
The record shows that in January 2020 Complainant filed a civil rights action against multiple defendants and a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP). A magistrate judge then issued a report recommending that Complainant's IFP motion be denied because her complaint was frivolous "to the point of utter incoherence" and that she be required to obtain approval by a judge to file future IFP motions. Over Complainant's objections, in May 2020 Judge issued an order adopting the report and recommendation as the opinion of the court.
The record also shows that in May 2020 Complainant filed in the district court a complaint and a motion to proceed IFP. Judge then entered an order denying Complainant leave to file the complaint, finding her proposed claims were frivolous. Complainant then multiple motions seeking various types of relief, which Judge denied.
Complaint
In her Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant indicates her Complaint concerns the Subject Judges' behavior in the above-described cases. She then generally states there was a conspiracy involving the abuse of power, discrimination and

retaliation against her, harassment, sexual coercion, and emotional abuse. She also states

her case has been called frivolous as a result of judicial misconduct and unethical practices.

Discussion

Complainant provides no credible facts or evidence in support of her claims that the Subject Judges engaged in misconduct.

The Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

