CONFIDENTIAL

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
MAY 1 3 2020

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

David J. Smith Clerk

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-19-90133 through 11-19-90136

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY	
IN RE: The Complaint of	against United States Circuit Judges , and of the United States Court of cuit, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act
Appeals for the Circ of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28	cuit, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER	
Judges,, Judges"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Ti	as filed this Complaint against United States Circuit, and (collectively, "the Subject title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicialedings of the Judicial Conference of the United
	plainant filed his Complaint, he filed a supplemental ntal statement is permitted. See 11th Cir. JCDR
Background	·
complaint raising various claims again judge entered an order allowing Comp the remaining one. After various proc judgment. In July 2018 a magistrate ju- defendants' motion for summary judge	n 2016 Complainant filed an amended civil rights est multiple defendants. In June 2016 a district plainant to proceed on three claims and dismissing seedings, the defendants filed a motion for summary udge issued a report recommending that the ment be granted, and the next month, the district port and recommendation and granting the mant appealed.
counsel, and Judge denied Complainant filed two motions to stay case, and in April 2019 Judge Complainant then filed, among other t	the motion several months later. After that, proceedings pending resolution of certain criminal denied one motion to stay as moot. Things, a motion to supplement the record in which ain color photographs. In July 2019 Judge

entered an order denying the motion to supplement the record as unnecessary noting that this Court already had access to the record on appeal, which included the color photographs.
Complainant then filed a "Motion for Civil Contempt" in which he stated that the appellees had not served him with their brief. He also filed a motion for reconsideration of the order denying his motion to supplement the record. In August 2019 a panel composed of Judges, and entered an order denying the Motion for Civil Contempt and directing the appellees to mail a copy of their brief to Complainant's address of record.
In September 2019 Complainant submitted a motion for appointment of counsel, and the Clerk's Office construed the document as a motion for reconsideration and returned it unfiled because it was untimely. After that, a two-judge panel that included Judge entered an order denying Complainant's motion for reconsideration of the order denying his motion to supplement the record. Later in September 2019 Complainant filed a motion to compel the appellees to serve their brief on him. He also submitted an amended motion for appointment of counsel, which the Clerk's Office returned to him unfiled because it was untimely. In October 2019 a panel composed of Judges, and entered an order denying Complainant's motion to compel as moot and denying his earlier motion to stay. In November 2019 Complainant filed a motion to voluntarily dismiss the case without prejudice, and this Court clerically granted the motion and dismissed the case.

Complaint

In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant takes issue with the processing of his documents by this Court's Clerk's Office, complaining that certain motions he submitted were not filed and forwarded for a ruling by a judge. He also complains that the order granting his motion for voluntary dismissal did not state whether the dismissal was with or without prejudice. He attached documents to his Complaint.

<u>Supplement</u>

In his supplemental statement, Complainant: (1) complains that the Subject Judges did not allow him to supplement the record; (2) states that certain pleadings in the record are not shown "in the law computer"; and (3) requests the return of the exhibit attached to his Complaint.

Discussion

Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, "Allegations Related to the Merits of a Decision or Procedural Ruling," provides in part that "[c]ognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judges' orders in his appeal, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judges' decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from the decisions or procedural rulings that Complainant challenges, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judges engaged in misconduct.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge