CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MAY 24 2019

David J. Smith Clerk

Judicial Complaint No. 11-18-90177

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY	
IN RE: The Complaint of against	, U.S. Magistrate Judge
for the U.S. District Court for the District of	
Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter §§ 351-364.	
ORDER	
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint Magistrate Judge (the "Subject Judge"), pursuant U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").	t to Chapter 16 of Title 28
Background	
The record shows that in November 2018 Complainar against a hospital raising various allegations, and he also file forma pauperis and a supplement to his complaint. In Decemissued a report recommending that the case be dismissed with subject matter jurisdiction. The report also stated that, even dismissal would be recommended based on frivolity because "fanciful, fantastic, irrational, or delusional." After that, Complaint and multiple supplements. In January 2019 the disadopting the Subject Judge's report and recommendation and prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.	d a motion to proceed in nber 2018 the Subject Judge hout prejudice for lack of if the court had jurisdiction, the factual contentions were inplainant filed an amended strict judge entered an order

Complaint

In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant describes various lawsuits he has filed in the district court and complains about the court's characterization of his pleadings. He specifically takes issue with the Subject Judge's report recommending dismissal of the above-described case. He attached documents to his Complaint.

Discussion

Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, "Allegations Related to the Merits of a Decision or Procedural Ruling," provides in part that "[c]ognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

All of Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's official actions, findings, report, and recommendations in the case, and the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge