CONFIDENTIAL

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MAY 24 2019

David J. Smith Clerk

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint No. 11-18-90166

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against, U.S. District Judge for
the U.S. District Court for the District of, under the Judicial
Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States District Judge (the "Subject Judge"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Background
The record shows that in September 2018 Complainant filed a lawsuit against multiple defendants and a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP). The next month, the Subject Judge entered an order granting the IFP motion and dismissing the case without prejudice, finding that Complainant's allegations were "factually frivolous."
Complaint
In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges the Subject Judge dismissed his case "without review or fair hearing."
Discussion
Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, "Allegations Related to the Merits of a Decision or Procedural Ruling," provides in part that "Iclognizable misconduct does not

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence

include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including

a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

All of Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's official actions, findings, and order in the case, and the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B). For that reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge