FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

MAY 24 2019

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

## BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

David J. Smith Clerk

Judicial Complaint No. 11-18-90137

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY		
IN RE: The Complaint of	against	, U.S. District Judge for
the U.S. District Court for the	District of _	, under the Judicial
Conduct and Disability Act of 1980	), Chapter 16 of Tit	tle 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
O	RDER	
("Complainant") has fil	ed this Complaint	against United States
District Judge (the "Subject Ju	_	_
U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-		
the Judicial Conference of the United State	es ("JCDR").	
Background		
The record shows that in July 2018 defendants and filed various motions. The finding that the complaint failed to state a order also gave Complainant additional tin pending motions.	e next month, a mag	gistrate judge issued an order ief could be granted. The
After that, Complainant filed document judge's order and filed a motion for the issubject Judge entered an order dismissing Complainant had not filed an amended comprovided no basis for the court to reconside	suance of a subpoen the case without participation that the case without participation in the dead	na. In October 2018 the rejudice, noting that lline and that his filings
Complaint		
In his Complaint of Judicial Miscor the Subject Judge dismissed the case "with Decision." Complainant asserts that the S	hout Just Cause or	Grounds to support Her

the Clerk's Office "had disclosed any transmittal"; (2) denied him his due process rights; and (3) did not expedite the scheduling of a hearing in the case. Finally, he complains

that he was not provided an attorney. He attached documents to his Complaint.

## **Discussion**

Rule 4(b)(1) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, "Allegations Related to the Merits of a Decision or Procedural Ruling," provides in part that "[c]ognizable misconduct does not include an allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse." The "Commentary on Rule 4" states in part:

Rule 4(b)(1) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial authority by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally call into question the substance of a judge's decision or procedural ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official decision or procedural ruling of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's official actions, findings, rulings, and orders in the case, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from the decisions or procedural rulings with which Complainant takes issue, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judge engaged in misconduct.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge