FILED ELEVENTH CIRCUIT JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OCT 27 2017

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

## FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

111790011

IN	RE:	<b>COMPL</b>	<b>AINT</b>	OF J	UDICI	AL
Ml	SCO	NDUCT	OR DI	SAB	ILITY	

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW\*

Before: TJOFLAT, HULL, MARCUS, WILSON, WILLIAM PRYOR, MARTIN, JORDAN, ROSENBAUM, and JULIE CARNES, Circuit Judges; MOORE, MERRYDAY, THRASH, BOWDRE, LAND, RODGERS, WATKINS, DuBOSE, and HALL, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Tjoflat, Wilson, Pryor, Land, and Rodgers, the order of Chief Judge Ed Carnes filed on 18 August 2017, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 31 August 2017, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

\* Chief Circuit Judge Ed Carnes did not take part in the review of this petition.

ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
JUDICIAL COUNCIL

OCT 27 2017

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

## FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

111790012

IN RE: COMPLAINT OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OR DISABILITY

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW\*

Before: TJOFLAT, HULL, MARCUS, WILSON, WILLIAM PRYOR, MARTIN, JORDAN, ROSENBAUM, and JULIE CARNES, Circuit Judges; MOORE, MERRYDAY, THRASH, BOWDRE, LAND, RODGERS, WATKINS, DuBOSE, and HALL, Chief District Judges.

Upon consideration of the petitioner's complaint by a review panel consisting of Judges Tjoflat, Wilson, Pryor, Land, and Rodgers, the order of Chief Judge Ed Carnes filed on 18 August 2017, and of the petition for review filed by the complainant on 31 August 2017, with no non-disqualified judge on the Judicial Council Review Panel having requested that this matter be placed on the agenda of a meeting of the Judicial Council,

The Judicial Council Review Panel hereby determines that the disposition of this matter was proper and said disposition is hereby AFFIRMED.

The foregoing actions are APPROVED.

FOR THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL:

United States Circuit Judge

\* Chief Circuit Judge Ed Carnes did not take part in the review of this petition.

## **CONFIDENTIAL**

**BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE** 

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

AUG 18 2017

David J. Smith Clerk

## OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint Nos. 11-17-90011 and 11-17-90012

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY
IN RE: The Complaint of against U.S. Magistrate Judge
and U.S. District Indge of the U.S. District Court for the
District of, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980,
Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.
ORDER
("Complainant") has filed this Complaint against United States  Magistrate Judge and United States District Judge (collectively,  "the Subject Judges"), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States ("JCDR").
Background
The record shows that in May 2015 Judge signed a criminal complaint submitted by a government agent who alleged that Complainant violated 18 U.S.C. §§ 554(a) and 922(e) concerning illegal shipments of firearms and ammunition. Attached to the criminal complaint was the agent's affidavit setting out the facts supporting his belief that probable cause existed to arrest Complainant. After various proceedings, in September 2015 a federal grand jury issued a superseding indictment charging Complainant with one count each of: (1) causing the delivery of firearms and ammunition to a common carrier for shipment in foreign commerce without notice to the carrier; and (2) knowingly and fraudulently attempting to export firearms and ammunition from the United States.
During a trial, the government presented a witness as an expert in the registration of firearms in Haiti. During voir dire, the witness stated, "Some of the Haitian police aren't the most honest and will accept money." The jury ultimately found Complainant guilty as charged in the superseding indictment. In September 2016 Complainant filed a pro se motion to, among other things, vacate the judgment of conviction, generally arguing that his constitutional rights had been violated. Complainant also filed a pro se motion to disqualify Judge, alleging that he had a conflict of interest and was biased against Complainant because he had issued orders in other lawsuits Complainant had filed. Judge entered an order striking the pro se motions because

Complainant was represented by counsel. In October 2016 Judge sentence Complainant to a total term of 60 months of imprisonment.	d
The record also shows that in June 2016 Complainant filed a lawsuit against multiple defendants, raising allegations pertaining to his criminal case. In August 201 Judge entered an order adopting a magistrate judge's report and recommendation and dismissing the complaint for failure to state a claim on which re could be granted.	
The record shows that Complainant filed another lawsuit in June 2016, general alleging that his counsel in the criminal case provided him with ineffective assistance August 2016 Judge entered an order adopting a magistrate judge's report a recommendation and dismissing the complaint for failure to state a claim.	. In
The record shows that in November 2016 Complainant filed 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence raising various challenges to his convictions. In December 2016 Judge adopted a magistrate judge's report recommendation and dismissed the case for lack of jurisdiction and without prejudice to any issue not cognizable on appeal. In a separate judgment, Judge denie Complainant a certificate of appealability (COA).	and as .
Complaint	
In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant alleges that Judge issued an "arrest warrant/criminal complaint without reading its command verifying the facts" set out by the agent, and he takes issue with various statement made in the supporting affidavit. Complainant alleges that Judge "overlook[ed] and ignor[ed]" violations of his constitutional rights in the case. He as that Judge "protect[ed]" the prosecutor and allowed the prosecutor "to use courtroom as a stage to introduce fabricated evidence" and discriminatory testimony, including a statement that "all Haitians are not the most honest of people."	tents ts serts his
Complainant states that Judge "shield[ed] the government from answering to any actions which [Complainant] [has] taken," "used his position to hid truth," and "allowed his emotions to take precedent over the issues." He alleges that Judge was biased against him as a person of Haitian descent, and that Judg showed bias by denying his motions and denying him a COA when he had asked for one. Finally, Complainant generally alleges that the Subject Judges violate rights "knowingly with bad intent." He attached various documents to his Complainant	ge l not ed his
Discussion	

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States provides that cognizable

misconduct does not include "an allegation that is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." The Rule provides that "[a]n allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related." Id. The "Commentary on Rule 3" states in part:

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a judge's ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge—without more—is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judges' official actions, findings, and orders entered in the cases, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judges' decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from the decisions or procedural rulings with which Complainant takes issue, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his claims that the Subject Judges acted to protect the prosecutor, were biased against Complainant, acted with an illicit or improper motive, or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge