CONFIDENTIAL

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

FILED U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

AUG 2 0 2015

DOUGLAS J. MINCHER CLERK

Judicial Complaint No. 11-15-90082

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY				
IN RE: The Complaint of	against	, U.S. District Judge for		
the U.S. District Court for the	District of	, under the Judicial		
Conduct and Disability Act of 19	80, Chapter 16 of Ti	tle 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.		
	ORDER			
("Complainant") has District Judge (the "Subject U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judici the Judicial Conference of the United St "" and has served as the "four	Judge"), pursuant to al-Conduct and Judic tates ("JCDR"). Com	Chapter 16 of Title 28 cial-Disability Proceedings of aplainant states he is a		
Background				
The record shows that in Februar discrimination lawsuit against was represented by Complainant, and another attorney. In May 1994 the Subj defendants. On June 8, 1994, Subject Judge granted. After that, 1994, the parties filed a stipulation of di Judge granted. On August 8, 1994, The next day, Complainant filed a motion August 26, 1994, the Subject Judge four and denied Complainant's motion to variable.	was represed the description of	nted by and claims against certain protective order, which the ed complaint. In August ce, and which the Subject and "request for direction."		
The record also shows that in Apreprimanded Complainant for violating threatening criminal prosecution in order Public Reprimand states that on June 8, order alleging, among other things, that misuse information to extort money from Complainant had sent correspondence to and accusations. The Public Reprimance	a rule of professional er to gain an advantage 1994, filed Complainant had "[im the defendants." To the defendants' cou	I conduct that proscribes ge in a civil matter. The d a motion for a protective Indicated a willingness to the motion also alleged that ansel that contained threats		

protective order and found that the language and tone of Complainant's letters suggested that he was engaging in extortion and/or blackmail of the defendants and the law firm representing them. The Subject Judge also found that Complainant's tactics of using threats to promote settlement were highly inappropriate and raised serious ethical questions, and that he had attempted to abuse discovery in a manner calculated to compel the defendants to settle the lawsuit irrespective of the merits of the plaintiff's claims.

Complaint

in his C	ompiaint of Judici	al Misconduct of Disa	bility, Complainant	tirst sets out
the following.	"As a young lawy	er with cerebral palsy,	" he represented	in her
lawsuit against	, who w	as represented by	, the son of "U	J.S. Judge"
He	alleges that	settled the case di	irectly with	without
Complainant in	the "settlement lo	oop," and Complainant	then filed a "Motio	n for
Instructions" w	ith the Subject Jud	dge. He states, "As an	older person today,	I realize that
a federal judge	writing an attack	against me, using this	ethics violation as it	's basis and
blaming me for	' 'using ethics as a	weapon,' I was subse	quently punished by	the
State Bar."				
have dictated, to case directly we part, no matter committed no a son, supreme court states that "this	that filing a motion ith a client, could how poorly present is conduct, a federand an ethics cloudinges from many judicial misconduct resurfaced when	n]o one at our bar even for instructions when not in any way constituted." Complainant the ral judge lent the present was illegally placed nations urge me to reduct defrauded me in my the State B.	a federal judge's so ute any ethics violate en states, "At the en tige of her office to do over my head. I had dress this fraud." Co y profession first as	on settles a ion on my d of the day, I Judge ve had many omplainant attorney, and

Discussion

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States provides that cognizable misconduct does not include "an allegation that is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." The Rule provides that "[a]n allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related." <u>Id.</u> The "Commentary on Rule 3" states in part:

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power by ensuring that

the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a judge's ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's findings and actions regarding Complainant's ethical behavior in the case, the allegations are directly related to the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from the decisions or procedural rulings with which Complainant takes issue, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his allegations that the Subject Judge lent the prestige of her office to benefit a federal judge's son, engaged in fraud, or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge