CONFIDENTIAL

FILED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

AUG 2 0 2015

DOUGLAS J. MINCHER CLERK

BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Judicial Complaint No. 11-15-90075

IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY

IN RE: The Complaint of	against	, U.S. District Judge for
IN RE: The Complaint of the U.S. District Court for the	District of	under the Judicial
Conduct and Disability Act of 198		
	ORDER	
("Complainant") has for District Judge (the "Subject Judicial Conference of the United States.")	Judge"), pursuant to (Chapter 16 of Title 28
Background		
The record shows that in May 201, generally alleging that the def May 28, 2015, the Subject Judge dismiss it failed to state a claim on which relief of to seek relief that could not be afforded to	fendant violated varion and the complaint with would be granted and	ous statutes and rules. On hout prejudice, finding that that Complainant appeared
Complaint		
In his Complaint of Judicial Miscowith the Subject Judge's May 28, 2015 of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 11 and "represented the defendant all by herself abused the power of her office. Finally, Judge knew two of the defendant's emplethe way as the Defendant counsel through	order, contending that 12 and that the order "Complainant asse Complainant appears oyees and was "fault	t the Subject Judge violated showed that she rts that the Subject Judge s to allege that the Subject ing [Complainant] exactly

Discussion

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States provides that cognizable

attached the May 28, 2015 order and another document to his Complaint.

misconduct does not include "an allegation that is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." The Rule provides that "[a]n allegation that calls into question the correctness of a judge's ruling, including a failure to recuse, without more, is merits-related." <u>Id.</u> The "Commentary on Rule 3" states in part:

Rule 3(h)(3)(A) tracks the Act, 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii), in excluding from the definition of misconduct allegations "[d]irectly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling." This exclusion preserves the independence of judges in the exercise of judicial power by ensuring that the complaint procedure is not used to collaterally attack the substance of a judge's ruling. Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge — without more — is merits-related.

To the extent Complainant's allegations concern the substance of the Subject Judge's May 28, 2015 order, the allegations are directly related to the merits of the Subject Judge's decisions or procedural rulings. Apart from the decisions or procedural rulings that Complainant challenges, he provides no credible facts or evidence in support of his allegations that the Subject Judge "represented the defendant," abused the power of her office, or otherwise engaged in misconduct.

The allegations of this Complaint are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling," JCDR 11(c)(1)(B), and the Complaint "is based on allegations lacking sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred or that a disability exists," JCDR 11(c)(1)(D). For those reasons, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii), and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) and (D) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint is **DISMISSED**.

Chief Judge