


CONFIDENTIAL

**BEFORE THE CHIEF JUDGE
OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT**

Judicial Complaint No. 11-15-90098



IN THE MATTER OF A COMPLAINT FILED BY _____

IN RE: The Complaint of _____ against _____, former U.S. District Judge for the U.S. District Court for the _____ District of _____, under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980, Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364.

ORDER

_____ (“Complainant”) has filed this Complaint against former United States District Judge _____ (the “Subject Judge”), pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 351(a) and the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (“JCDR”). The Subject Judge passed away in _____.

Background

The record shows that the Subject Judge was the assigned district judge to multiple cases in which Complainant was the plaintiff. In one case, in February 2004 the Subject Judge deemed Complainant an abusive serial filer and directed the clerk to return unfiled all papers Complainant thereafter submitted, with certain exceptions. In a later case, the Subject Judge imposed more severe filing restrictions on Complainant.

Complaint

In his Complaint of Judicial Misconduct or Disability, Complainant generally contends that his conviction and sentence are void and unconstitutional. Complainant states that he tried to raise certain arguments before the Subject Judge, but the Subject Judge acted with deliberate indifference, lied, and called him a menace to society. Complainant asserts that the Subject Judge published a report that went to various courts to silence Complainant, which prevented him from having his claims seriously considered by any court. Complainant asserts that the Subject Judge acted outside the capacity of his judicial duties and violated ethical canons, the United States Constitution, and his oath of office.

Rule 11(e) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States provides, “The chief judge may conclude the complaint proceeding in whole or in part upon determining that intervening events render some or all of the allegations moot or make remedial action impossible.” With respect to this rule, the “Commentary on Rule 11” states in part, “Rule 11(e) implements Section 352(b)(2) of the Act, which permits the chief judge to ‘conclude the proceeding,’ if ‘action on the complaint is no longer necessary because of intervening events,’ such as a resignation from judicial office.”

In light of the Subject Judge’s death, “intervening events render some or all of the allegations moot or make remedial action impossible,” JCDR 11(e). For this reason, pursuant to Chapter 16 of Title 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(2) and Rule 11(e) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States, this Complaint proceeding is **CONCLUDED**. The conclusion of this Complaint proceeding in no way implies that there is any merit to Complainant’s allegations.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E. L. ...", is written above a horizontal line.

Chief Judge