## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

## APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE HABEAS CORPUS PETITION 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b) BY A PRISONER IN STATE CUSTODY

| Name           | Prisoner Number |          |
|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Institution    |                 |          |
| Street Address |                 |          |
| City           | State           | Zip Code |

## INSTRUCTIONS-READ CAREFULLY

- (1) This application must be legibly handwritten or typewritten and signed by the applicant under penalty of perjury. Any false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution and conviction for perjury.
- (2) All applicants seeking leave to file a second or successive petition should use this form. In capital cases, the use of this form is optional.
- (3) All questions must be answered concisely in the proper space on the form.
- (4) Additional pages are not permitted except with respect to identifying additional grounds for relief and facts on which you rely to support those grounds. To raise any additional claims, use the "Additional Claim" pages attached at the end of this application, which may be copied as necessary. DO NOT SUBMIT SEPARATE PETITIONS, MOTIONS, BRIEFS, ARGUMENTS, ETC., EXCEPT IN CAPITAL CASES.

- In accordance with the "Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996," as codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b), effective April 24, 1996, before leave to file a second or successive petition can be granted by the United States Court of Appeals, it is the applicant's burden to make a prima facie showing that satisfies the conditions in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b), stated below.
  - (b)(1) a claim presented in a second or successive habeas corpus application under [28 U.S.C.] section 2254 that was presented in a prior application shall be dismissed.
  - (2) a claim presented in a second or successive habeas corpus application under [28 U.S.C.] section 2254 that was not presented in a prior application shall be dismissed unless—
    - (A) the applicant shows that the claim relies on a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable; or
    - (B)(i) the factual predicate for the claim could not have been discovered previously through the exercise of due diligence; and
    - (ii) the facts underlying the claim, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfinder would have found the applicant guilty of the underlying offense.
- (6) When this application is fully completed, the original and three copies must be mailed to:

Clerk of Court United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit 56 Forsyth Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

|                                       | APPLICATION  |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| (a)                                   | Identify the court which entered the judgment of conviction being challenged:  |
| (b)                                   | Case number  |
| Date                                  | of judgment of conviction  |
| Leng                                  | th of sentence Sentencing Judge  |
| List a                                | all offenses for which you were convicted in the case identified above:  |
|                                       |  |
|                                       |  |
|                                       |  |
|                                       |  |
|                                       |  |
|                                       |  |
|                                       | e you ever filed a post-conviction petition, application, or motion for collateral relief dederal court related to this conviction and sentence?   |
| any f                                 | e you ever filed a post-conviction petition, application, or motion for collateral relief federal court related to this conviction and sentence?  Yes ( ) No ( ) If "yes," how many times? (if more than on  |
| any f  (a) N                          | you ever filed a post-conviction petition, application, or motion for collateral relief ederal court related to this conviction and sentence?  Yes() No() If "yes," how many times? (if more than on complete 6 and 7 below as necessary)  |
| <ul><li>(a) N</li><li>(b) C</li></ul> | e you ever filed a post-conviction petition, application, or motion for collateral relief rederal court related to this conviction and sentence?  Yes() No() If "yes," how many times? (if more than or complete 6 and 7 below as necessary)  Tame of court  |
| (a) N (b) C (c) N                     | you ever filed a post-conviction petition, application, or motion for collateral relief rederal court related to this conviction and sentence?  Yes() No() If "yes," how many times? (if more than one complete 6 and 7 below as necessary)  Tame of court (ase number |
| (a) N (b) C (c) N                     | Yes ( ) No ( ) If "yes," how many times? (if more than on complete 6 and 7 below as necessary)  ame of court ase number ature of proceeding  |

| (e) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application, or motion? |
|--|
| Yes ( ) No ( )   |
| (f) Result   |
| (g) Date of result   |
|  |
| As to any second federal petition, application, or motion, give the same information |
| (a) Name of court  |
| (b) Case number  |
| (c) Nature of proceeding   |

| - |  |
|---|--|
| _ |  |
| _ |  |
| _ |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| - |  |
| - |  |
| - |  |
| - |  |
| - |  |
| - |  |
| _ |  |
| _ |  |
| _ |  |
| _ |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| ( | (e) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application, or motion  |
| , | Yes() No()   |
| ( |  |
| ' | f) Result  |
| - | (g) Date of result   |
| ` |  |
| 1 | As to any third federal petition, application, or motion, give the same information: |
|   | (a) Name of court  |
|   | (b) Case number  |

| (d) Ground | ds raised (list <u>all</u> grounds; use extra pages if necessary) |
|------------|---|
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
|            |   |
| (a) D: 1   | u manista an anidantiam harring an arrangest' 0 W (N N (N         |
|            | u receive an evidentiary hearing on your motion? Yes ( ) No ( )   |
| (1) 100011 |   |

|                | tra pages to reflect additio                           |               |           |                 |                  |      |
|----------------|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|------|
| (              | (1) First petition, etc.                               | No ( )        | Yes (     | ) Appeal No.    | •                |      |
| (              | (2) Second petition etc.                               | No ( )        | Yes (     | ) Appeal No.    | •                |      |
| (              | (3) Third petition, etc.                               | No ( )        | Yes (     | ) Appeal No.    | •                |      |
| •              | id <u>not</u> appeal from the adve<br>why you did not: | erse action o | on any pe | etition, applic | cation, or motio | 1, e |
|                |  |               |           |                 |                  |      |
|                |  |               |           |                 |                  |      |
|                |  |               |           |                 |                  |      |
|                |  |               |           |                 |                  |      |
|                | oncisely every ground on v                             |               |           | m that you a    | re being held ur | ılav |
| Summa          | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   | -               | _                |      |
| Summa          |  | orting each   | ground.   | -               | _                |      |
| Summa          | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   | -               | _                |      |
| Summa          | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   | -               | _                |      |
| Summar<br>A. • | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| Summar<br>A. • | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| Summar<br>A. • | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| Summar<br>A. G | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| Summar<br>A. G | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| Summar<br>A. • | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| A. C           | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| A. C           | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |
| Summar<br>A. • | rize <u>briefly</u> the <u>facts</u> suppo             | orting each   | ground.   |                 |                  |      |

| _  |  |
|----|--|
|    |  |
| _  |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| _  |  |
| _  |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    | Tas this claim raised in a prior federal petition, motion, or application for leavele a second or successive habeas petition? Yes ( ) No ( ) |
| D  | oes this claim rely on a "new rule of constitutional law"? Yes ( ) No ( )  |
| If | "yes," state the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation):   |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| _  |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
| _  |  |
|    |  |
| _  |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

| Does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes ( ) No ( )   |
|---|
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previously available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clear and convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfinder would have found you guilty of the offense. |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

| B. | Ground two:   |
|----|---|
|    |   |
|    | Supporting EACTS (tall your story briefly without siting ages or law):  |
|    | Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |

| Was this claim raised in a prior federal petition, motion, or application for leave file a second or successive habeas petition? Yes() No()  Does this claim rely on a "new rule of constitutional law"? Yes() No()  If "yes," state the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation):  Does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes() No()  If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by cleand convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind would have found you guilty of the offense. |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| file a second or successive habeas petition? Yes () No ()  Does this claim rely on a "new rule of constitutional law"? Yes () No ()  If "yes," state the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation):  Does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes () No ()  If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by cleand convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfined.   |   |  |
| If "yes," state the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation):  Does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes() No()  If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by cleand convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfine  |   | 1  |
| Does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes() No()  If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by cleand convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind   | Does this clai  | m rely on a "new rule of constitutional law"? Yes ( ) No ( )   |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  | If "yes," state                                       | the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation):  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  |   |  |
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous available to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by clean dconvincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfind  | Does this clai  | m rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes ( ) No ( )  |
|   | If "yes," brief<br>available to you<br>and convincing | fly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previous but through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by class evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfin |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |
|   |   |  |

| C. | Ground three:   |
|----|---|
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    |   |
|    | Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): |

| -   |
|---|
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Was this claim raised in a prior federal petition, motion, or application for leave file a second or successive habeas petition? Yes ( ) No ( ) |
| Does this claim rely on a "new rule of constitutional law"? Yes ( ) No ( )  |
| If "yes," state the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation):   |
|   |

| Does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? Yes ( ) No ( )  |
|--|
| If "yes," briefly state the newly discovered evidence, why it was not previavailable to you through the exercise of due diligence, and how it establishes by and convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable facts would have found you guilty of the offense. |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

|                       | CHECK HERE IF ADD   | DITIONAL CLAIM PAGES   | ARE ATTACHED.   |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| 11.                   | Do you have any motion, pet judgment now being challen                        | tition, application, or appeal now penged? Yes ( ) No ( )  | ending in any court as to the                                 |
|                       | If "yes," name of court   | Case num   | iber  |
|                       |   | ict Court to Consider Applicant's Se   | eals for the Eleventh Circuit<br>econd or Successive Petition |
|                       | an Order Authorizing the Distri<br>Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2              | rict Court to Consider Applicant's Se<br>28 U.S.C. § 2254.   | econd or Successive Petition                                  |
|                       |   | rict Court to Consider Applicant's Se<br>28 U.S.C. § 2254.   |   |
| for a \text{\text{'}} | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury th                 | rict Court to Consider Applicant's Se<br>28 U.S.C. § 2254.   | econd or Successive Petition                                  |
| I decl                | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury th                 | rict Court to Consider Applicant's Se<br>28 U.S.C. § 2254.  Applica  | econd or Successive Petition                                  |
| I decl                | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury theorrect.         | rict Court to Consider Applicant's Se<br>28 U.S.C. § 2254.  Applica  | econd or Successive Petition                                  |
| I decl                | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury theorrect. uted on | rict Court to Consider Applicant's Se<br>28 U.S.C. § 2254.  Applica  | econd or Successive Petition                                  |
| I decl                | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury theorrect. uted on | Application of the control of the co | econd or Successive Petition                                  |
| I decl                | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury theorrect. uted on | Application of the control of the co | econd or Successive Petition and the Application are true     |
| I decl                | Writ of Habeas Corpus under 2 are under Penalty of Perjury theorrect. uted on | Application of the control of the co | econd or Successive Petition and this Application are true    |

| PROOF OF SERVICE  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Applicant must send a copy of this application and all attachments to the attorney general of the state in which applicant was convicted. |  |  |  |  |  |
| I certify that on, I mailed a copy of this Application* and [date]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| all attachments to  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at the following address:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |

Applicant's Signature

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 25(a)(2)(A)(iii), "If an institution has a system designed for legal mail, an inmate confined there must use that system to receive the benefit of this Rule 25(a)(2)(A)(iii). A paper not filed electronically by an inmate is timely if it is deposited in the institution's internal mail system on or before the last day for filing and:

<sup>•</sup> it is accompanied by: a declaration in compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1746—or a notarized statement—setting out the date of deposit and stating that first-class postage is being prepaid; or evidence (such as a postmark or date stamp) showing that the paper was so deposited and that postage was prepaid; or

<sup>•</sup> the court of appeals exercises its discretion to permit the later filing of a declaration or notarized statement that satisfies Rule 25(a)(2)(A)(iii)."

## **ADDITIONAL CLAIM** Ground : Supporting FACTS (tell your story briefly without citing cases or law): Was this claim raised in a prior federal petition, motion, or application for leave to file a second or successive habeas petition? Yes ( ) No ( ) Does this claim rely on a "new rule of constitutional law"? Yes ( ) No ( ) If "yes," state the new rule of constitutional law (give case name and citation): 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b) Application (Continue to Page 2 to complete this claim)

| Does this cl | aim rely on new | ly discovered  | evidence?     | Yes ( ) No (      | )  |             |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|--|-------------|
| hrough the   | exercise of due | diligence, and | how it establ | ishes by clear ar | previously avail<br>nd convincing ev<br>d you guilty of th | idence that |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |
|              |                 |                |               |                   |  |             |